

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,277	04/13/2005	Yoshihiro Izumi	1035-586	5072
	7590 10/10/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LEE, JOHN R		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application N	l o.	Applicant(s)				
	10/531,277	!	IZUMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	John R. Lee		2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the co	ver sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	· · · · · ·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	【						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	· ·		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	•						
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.		·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	irement.	•	•			
Application Papers				•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r : ::						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required i	the drawing(s) is obje	cted to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note	he attached Office A	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. '						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		. ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	eceived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	1						
Attachment(s)	1						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa		•			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	6)	Other:	1 1				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :11/28/2006, 8/22/2005, 4/13/2005, 9/8/2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,277

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification contains grammatical and idiomatic errors too numerous to specify individually. Applicant should revise the specification as appropriate. Appropriate correction is required.

::: Claim Objections

Claims 3, 11, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 3 and 12 are missing the units of current. Also, is "bright current" the correct terminology?

In claim 11, in the last line of the second step, "charges" should be charge.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-30278 A (Hitachi).

The examiner is basing this rejection on what can be understood from the translated Japanese office action and English language abstract of the cited prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/531,277

Art Unit: 2878

since a full translation of the entire document is unavailable. Regarding claims 1-2, 6-11,16 and 18 Hitachi appears to teach a means and method for driving a thin film transistor (TFT) with a gate voltage with opposite polarity to an average voltage that drives the TFT in an OFF state in an arbitrary period. It is not clear how this imaging circuit is being used. The use of this circuit in an image reading device, flatbed scanner, or a handy scanner would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it is notoriously old in the art to apply image reading circuits to those types of scanners.

Regarding the time periods, current, and cycle times of claims 3-5 and 12-15, and 17, Hitachi appears to teach the general conditions of the driving periods. The specific ranges, lacking any showing of criticality, would have been an obvious design expedient not requiring undue experimentation to determine optimum values.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John R. Lee at telephone number (571) 272-2477.

Vonn R. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878 Page 3